Recurrent pelvic organ prolapse: a case report
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Abstract

Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) is a common female condition, which is seen as protrusion of pelvic organs into or beyond the vagina. Its incidence is increasing and every woman has an 11% lifetime risk of needing surgical treatment because of this condition. POP that is recurrent several times is a rare condition; however it can have major negative impact on quality of life. We present the case of a 77-year old Caucasian woman with repeated POP. She underwent six gynecologic operations for correction of recurrent POP. Presentation of this case clearly demonstrates dramatic recurrences of POP and how difficult its treatment and prevention can be. We do not know what the reason for multiple recurrence of this condition might be. We need to emphasize that there are no researches on specific risk for an individual patient, which may potentiate occurrence of POP and may affect decisions about surgical procedure.

Introduction

Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) is a common gynecologic phenomenon, in which there is a protrusion of organs into or beyond the vagina due to dysfunction of suspensory ligaments and/or fascial support.

Women with vaginal prolapse experience a dragging feeling in the vagina, back pain and protrusion from the vagina, which predispose vaginal mucosa to ulcer formation.

There are numerous risk factors for the occurrence of POP. Bump and Norton described a model for the onset of pelvic floor dysfunction, which consists of predisposing, inciting and promoting factors. Race, anatomy and collagen distribution are considered predisposing factors. Radical surgery and vaginal delivery are due to possible damage of neuromusculature and connective tissue, which both lead to pelvic floor weakening, considered inciting factors. Obesity and aging are promoting factors.

Case Report

A 77-year old red-haired, Caucasian woman had six gynecologic surgeries due to POP. Her obstetric history includes four vaginal childbirths without any complications. She had no miscarriages, blood transfusions or allergies. She had myocardial infarction in 2003. In 2005, she underwent cholecystectomy. She has been treated for diabetes (on insulin therapy) for 10 years. Her body mass index is 26 and has not changed drastically in the past few years. At the age of 50 (in 1989), she underwent her first gynecologic surgery because of primary uterine prolapse, with dragging sensation, complaint of bowel symptoms and urinary incontinence. Abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral adnexitomy and Burch colposuspension was performed. Burch colposuspension is the procedure for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. By itself, it is not indicated for the treatment of POP, for which we use alternative treatment, in this case a hysterectomy. As we preformed the abdominal hysterectomy, we decided to preform also Burch colposuspension for further prevention of urinary incontinence. In 2006, vaginoplasty of enterocele and Richter’s sacrospinous ligament fixation of vaginal vault prolapse was made,
After the usual preoperative preparation, the rectalis muscle on both sides was shown. or wall of the vaginal vault; damaged pubo-rectal mesh on the rectum and on the anteri-

vaginal and endoanal ultrasound showed 8.0, Ap 3.0, Bp 3.0 (Figure 3) Perineal, 
tonation was Aa -2.0, Ba -2.0, C -4.5, gh 3.0, tvl 
vagina (Figure 2). POP Q on the examina-
tion. The mesh could be seen eroding the 
surface of the vulva; and after the usual preop-
erative preparation total colpocleisis was 
again corrected by total colpocleisis.

All stated surgeries took place without any complications or greater blood loss. Each time postoperative period was carried out without complications. During hospitalization, she received analgesics, antibiotics, anti-thrombotic protection, laxatives and her regular therapy. We discouraged greater physical exertion and heavy lifting for at least four months after surgery. We advised her to drink sufficient amount of fluids and to take care of regular bowel movements. Two months after surgery she had a follow-

up visit, where she had no specific prob-
lems. All surgeries were performed by a very experienced senior surgeon.

Discussion

Pelvic organ prolapse is a common female health problem. Experts estimate that every woman has an 11% lifetime risk of needing surgical treatment because of POP. The incidence of pelvic organ prolapse is expected to increase by 46% from 2010 to year 2050. The incidence of recurrent POP is still unknown. Price et al. demonstrated that the rate of re-operation was greatest in the first three years after initial surgery. So far, little is known about specific risk factors for each individual which predispose them to recurrence of POP. However, recent meta-analyses have shown that surgical procedures can improve quality of life of women with POP, and appropriate choice of surgical technique was found to be among the most important factors on which success of surgery depends. Evidence about which surgical method is the most appropriate for each patient is still lacking. In addition, it is difficult to identify patients with the same degree of POP due to the lack of a universal definition of POP to perform uniform surgery for all of them. Nevertheless, we tried several surgical techniques to improve our patient’s condition; however the proper surgical technique suitable for her could not be found and reoperations were needed. In general, in our hospital recurrences after sacropexy are 4.5%, and after total colpocleisis are less than 1%. To date vaginal hysterectomy is still standard practice, even though descent of the uterus is a result, not a cause of, prolapse. So far, there are some data, which show that the history of POP at the time of hysterectomy has been established as a strong and independent factor for POP recurrence. In addition, subtotal abdominal hysterectomy does not prevent development of POP compared to total hysterectomy. Conversely, vaginal apical support procedure is possible to reduce long-term risks for its recurrence. The success rate of apical fixation procedures is 82-92%. Increasingly, women wish to avoid hysterectomy, because of delay in childbearing and the belief that the uterus is important for sexual satisfaction. To resolve POP and preserve fertility, a sacrospinous uterine fixation is possible. The oblitterative procedures such as partial and total colpexc-
tomy are reserved for women with stage III-
IV POP who no longer want to preserve coital function, and have a cure rate of 90-97%. In comparison, sacrocolpopexy with a polypropylene mesh has a statistically higher success rate (up to 91%) and less post-
operative dyspareunia. However, mesh repairs are also linked with high rates of surgical complications and postoperative adverse effects, and vaginal mesh is banned.

Figure 1. The patient with Stage 3 POP with leading posterior wall.

Figure 2. On the examination the posterior mesh that is not fixed to the sacrum anymore and it is eroding through the mucosa.
The early postoperative period is fundamental for proper wound healing after pelvic surgery. An increase in abdominal pressure, which may occur at the mobilization, coughing, vomiting and straining at bowel movements, can all threaten normal wound healing. This may influence the success of the surgical procedure and can lead to revision surgeries. To reduce this risk, some recommend use of vaginal support devices for at least four weeks after surgery. In our institution, we do not regularly prescribe post-operative use of vaginal support devices, however our patient was always given precise instructions at discharge about how to deal with early and late postoperative periods, such as discouragement of greater physical exertion and heavy lifting, taking care of regular bowel movements and dissuasion of sexual intercourse in the early postoperative period.

Nowadays the influence of genetics on occurrence of POP is emphasized. Vetuschi and coworkers have confirmed a significant influence of collagen imbalance on occurrence of POP. They have shown that muscularis propria of the vaginal wall in POP patients has more type III collagen than type I, which consists of much thinner fibers, less elastic fibers, and smooth muscle cells were found to be more disorganized. This all results in alternations of architecture and function of muscularis propria.

Presentation of this case clearly demonstrates dramatic recurrences of POP and how difficult its treatment and prevention can be. Even though such extreme cases are infrequent, we wanted to draw attention to them with this case. We need to emphasize that to date the literature of recurrent prolapse does not exist. Our paper is the first contribution, which in detail described the problem of recurrent POP. To understand why relapse occurs and why in our patient, despite so many different surgeries, relapse always occurred, we would need clinical studies focusing on identifying risk factors for each patient. Only individual treatment and individual selection of therapy could avoid recurrence of POP. To avoid development and recurrences of POP it is necessary to identify high-risk populations and focus on modification of risk factors. It is important to develop new techniques for the patients with recurrent prolapse, to spare patients future re-admissions for future surgeries.

Conclusions

POP is one of the leading pathologies, which can have extremely negative impact on quality of life of individual women. Despite the fact that we expect marked increase in the incidence of POP over the next 30 years, little is known about modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors and appropriate treatment options for each individual. Therefore, we recommend the implementation of future researches with uniform definition of POP, which would be more explicit and easier to compare with one another. In addition, randomized studies about recurrence of POP are needed, since there is no data on this topic in the literature yet.
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